



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7269
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic control against Striga hermonthica infestation: use of host-plant tolerant maize
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE TITLE: The should be modified for example: THE USE OF GENETICALLY HOST RESISTANT MAIZE PLANT (<i>Zea mays</i>) in the control of <i>Striga hermonthica</i> in ABSTRACT: The abstract is written very casually, e.g. The aim of this research is to use genetically resistant maize plant (<i>Zea mays</i>) Let the sentences be complete, very formal and scientific INTRODUCTION: The introduction needs to be written in a more scientific format, i.e. step-by step flow of information, for instance; Readers should be informed of; • the importance of maize, • factors which growth/yield etc to include parasitic weeds such as <i>S. hermonthica</i>. • <i>S. hermonthica</i> and its devastating effects on the growth/yield of cereals etc etc, • Literature review?, • Importance/justification of the research, and • aims and objective. MATERIALS/METHODS: needs to be re-arranged and corrections on grammer, spellings its presentation be made. RESULTS/DISCUSSION: Same with the results and discussion. REFERENCES: References are poorly written, without proper punctuations of anhor for e.g. Abdullahi, K., Manga, S.B. and Muhammad, S. The references should be reviewed to be consistent with	THE TITLE: The title has been modified: The use of genetically host tolerant maize plant (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) in the control of <i>Striga hermonthica</i> in northern Côte d'Ivoire. The word RESISTANT has been replaced by TOLERANT because host plant tolerant maize had been use. ABSTRACT: This part of the objectives "To use genetically resistant maize plant (<i>Zea mays</i>)" has been included. INTRODUCTION: The introduction has been written in a more scientific format, i.e. step-by step flow of information, for instance; Readers should be informed of; • the importance of maize, • factors which growth/yield etc to include parasitic weeds such as <i>S. hermonthica</i>. • <i>S. hermonthica</i> and its devastating effects on the growth/yield of cereals etc etc, • Literature review?, • Importance/justification of the research, and • aims and objective. MATERIALS/METHODS: corrections on



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the approved format of the journal	grammar and spellings have been made. RESULTS/DISCUSSION: corrections on grammar and spellings have been made. REFERENCES: References are correctly written, with proper punctuations of authors The below example given is not concerned by this manuscript. <i>"for e.g. Abdullahi, K., Manga, S.B. and Muhammad, S."</i> The references are consistent with the approved format of the journal.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	THE AUTHOR(S) SHOULD BE ADVISED TO MAKE THOSE MAJOR CORRECTIONS AND SEND THE ARTICLE SHOULD articles@journalsconsortium.org THIS CONSORTIUM CAN SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE LANGUAGE AND PRESENTATION OF ARTICLES. LET THE AUTHORS VISIT THE CONSORTIUM AT www. journalsconsortium.org	The major corrections have been done. We don't think that it is right now necessary to send the manuscript to the mentioned CONSORTIUM. The CONSORTIUM may be contacted for the next submission.